

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,685	10/779,685 02/18/2004		Robert Struijs	0594-1001-1	8560
466	7590	08/14/2006		EXAMINER	
YOUNG	& THOM	PSON	FREJD, RUSSE	FREJD, RUSSELL WARREN	
745 SOUT 2ND FLO	TH 23RD ST OR	FREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202				2128	
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Addison Commence	10/779,685	STRUIJS, ROBERT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Russell Frejd	2128					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 I	February 2004						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
' =	, -						
· ···	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	or alastian requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2.18.04</u> .	6) Other:						

Serial Number: 10/779,685 Page 1

In re Application of: Struijs

Examination of Application #10/779,685

1. Claims 1-52 of application 10/779,685, filed on 18-February-2004, are presented for examination.

Specification Objections

2. The description of this application contains multiple computer program listings (see Appendices 1-12), at least some of which consist of more than three hundred (300) lines (see Appendices 3, 5, 9, 11 and 12). In accordance with 37 CFR 1.96(c), a computer program listing printout of more than three hundred lines must be submitted as a computer program listing appendix on compact disc conforming to the standards set forth in 37 CFR 1.96(c)(2) and must be appropriately referenced in the specification (see 37 CFR 1.77(b)(4)). Accordingly, applicant's are required to cancel at least the computer program listings appearing in the specification in Appendices 3, 5, 9, 11 and 12, file a computer program listing appendix on compact disc in compliance with 37 CFR 1.96(c), and insert an appropriate reference to the newly added computer program listing appendix on compact disc at the beginning of the specification.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

- 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

 Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 3.1 Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The invention claims (claim 1 preamble), "A method of

Serial Number: 10/779,685 Page 2

In re Application of: Struijs

simulating behavior of a flow interacting with an object, the method providing a simulated numerical representation in N dimensions, $N \ge 3$, that is composed of a plurality of approximated values at a multitude of points in at least a part of space where the flow interacts with the object, the approximated values being of a physical parameter u of the flow to which is associated a velocity field {right arrow over (a)} which determines a preferential direction, by means of a numerical scheme wherein at least one spatial p^{th} derivative D_p , $p \ge 1$, of the parameter u is approximated at the points of the part of space."

3.2 MPEP Section 2106(IV)(B)(2)(b)(ii) provides that a statutory computer process is determined not by how the computer performs the process, but by what the computer does to achieve a practical application with a useful, concrete and tangible result. For example, a computer process that simply calculates a mathematical algorithm that models noise is nonstatutory, while a claimed process for digitally filtering noise employing the mathematical algorithm is statutory. The long line of cases in this area that are referred to in MPEP Section 2106(IV)(B)(2)(b)(ii) exemplify this requirement, by utilizing in the claim language, terms such as controlling, executing, changing and removing. In view of the aforementioned requirement and the interim guidelines for 101 eligibility, the Examiner respectfully contends that the claim language of independent claim 1 does not claim a practical application with a tangible result, that language claiming: using (emphasis added) for the part of space a discrete N-dimensional grid constructed by N families of coordinate lines;

computing, in at least one point P of the grid, called the point of computation, an approximated value D.sub.p.sup.A of D_p with an error \in_n , by using values u_s of the parameter in a collection of grid points, called the stencil S, and computational functions, evaluated with the

Serial Number: 10/779,685 Page 3

In re Application of: Struijs

values u.sub.s, the computational functions depending on the numerical framework in which D_p is expressed.

choosing the computational functions for the approximated value D.sub.p.sup.A in such

a way that the approximated value D.sub.p.sup.A is optimized for the preferential direction, and wherein the stencil *S* contains at least one point situated outside all the coordinate lines passing through the point of computation *P*, and the stencil *S* contains at least a first point and a second point, the first point being defined by *N* first coordinate lines of the *N* families of lines, the second point being defined by *N* second coordinate lines of the *N* families of lines, and for at

least one family $N_{\rm f}$ of the coordinate lines, the first coordinate line belonging to the family $N_{\rm f}$ is

different from and not adjacent to the second coordinate line belonging to the same family N_f;

and

outputting the numerical representation that simulates, for the part of space, behavior of the flow interacting with the object.

- 3.3 For at least these reasons, the Examiner respectfully posits that the claims of the present invention do not meet the criteria for a statutory process. Accordingly, the claims are determined to be a method of simulating behavior of a flow interacting with an object, consisting solely of mathematical operations, converting one set of numbers into another set of numbers, whereby the method does not manipulate appropriate subject matter, and thus cannot constitute a statutory process (MPEP Section 2106(IV)(B)(2)(c)).
- 3.4 The Examiner respectfully posits that claims 1-52 of the present invention do not meet the criteria for a statutory process, as these claims are determined to be a program per se,

Serial Number: 10/779,685 Page 4

In re Application of: Struijs

consisting of software modules that implement the method of simulating behavior of a flow interacting with an object, whereby the method does not manipulate appropriate subject matter. and thus cannot constitute a statutory process (MPEP Section 2106(IV)(B)(2)(c)).

Allowed Claims

4. Claims 1-52 are deemed allowable over the prior art of record at this time, pending resolution of any rejections noted above.

Response Guidelines

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any response to the Examiner in regard to this non-final action should be

directed to: Russell Frejd, telephone number (571) 272-3779, Monday-Friday

from 0530 to 1400 ET, or the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah, telephone number (571) 272-2279. Inquires of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100

Group Receptionist (571) 272-2100.

mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to: (571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window, Randolph

Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA, 22314.

Date: 3-August-2006

RUSSELL FREJD PRIMARY EXAMINER